

REMARKS

The Examiner objected to the disclosure due to informalities and has required the Applicant to submit a substitute specification. The Examiner objected to the claims as being of improper form and, with regard to claims 5-10, for not being associative of any category of invention. The Examiner also had a number of other objections as to the form of the claims. Substantively, the Examiner rejected claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Berglöf, et al. In response, the Applicant has cancelled all of the original claims, added new claims and provided a new specification. Although the Applicant is submitting this amendment/response in good faith to further the prosecution of the present patent application on its merits, it is Applicant's intent to follow-up this amendment/response with continuation application. At that time, the Applicant will abandon the present application in favor of the continuation application.

The Specification

The Applicant is submitting a Transmittal of Substitute Specification pursuant to the Examiner's request. To the best of the author's present knowledge, the Transmittal includes a copy of the originally filed specification and that submitted subsequently by the Applicant that are marked in red ink to show the changes thereto. A clean copy of the substitute specification is also submitted with the above Transmittal.

The Claims


The Applicant concedes that his claims are not of proper form and is cancelling all currently pending claims and submitting new claims that are believed to be of proper form and are sufficiently supported by the written disclosure, drawings and original claims. The Applicant acknowledges the prior art cited by the Examiner in the December 7, 2005 Office Action. As stated above, it is Applicant's intent to submit a continuation patent application with a more fully developed detailed description of the present invention. It is also anticipated that the continuation patent

1 application will have claims directed to the invention that are able to sufficiently distinguish the present
2 invention from the prior art cited by the Examiner. At the time the continuation patent application is
3 submitted, the Applicant intends to abandon this application in favor of prosecuting the continuation
4 application.

5 Applicant's original application included fees sufficient for three independent claims and
6 a total of twenty claims. All ten original claims are being cancelled and eleven new claims, including
7 one independent claim, are be submitted herewith. As a result, no additional fees for claims are
8 believed due.

9 Dated: June 7 2006

10 Respectfully Submitted,

11
12 By 
13 Richard A. Ryan
Reg. No. 39,014

14 Richard A. Ryan
15 Attorney at Law
8497 N. Millbrook, Suite 101
16 Fresno, CA 93720

17 Phone: (559) 447-1837
18 Fax: (559) 447-1042
19 e-mail: richard@fresnopatentlaw.com
20
21
22
23
24
25
26